

JOSUÉ VALENTE LIMA

# BRASSINOSTEROIDS IMPROVES THE PHOTOSYSTEM II EFFICIENCY, GAS EXCHANGE, ANTIOXIDANT ENZYMES AND GROWTH IN COWPEA PLANTS EXPOSED TO WATER DEFICIT

BELÉM

2017



# JOSUÉ VALENTE LIMA

## BRASSINOSTEROIDS IMPROVES THE PHOTOSYSTEM II EFFICIENCY, GAS EXCHANGE, ANTIOXIDANT ENZYMES AND GROWTH IN COWPEA PLANTS EXPOSED TO WATER DEFICIT

Dissertation submitted to Federal Rural University of Amazônia, as part of the requirements for obtaining the *Magister Scientiae* degree in Agronomy Advisor: Prof. Dr. Allan Klynger da Silva Lobato

BELÉM 2017



JOSUÉ VALENTE LIMA

# BRASSINOSTEROIDS IMPROVES THE PHOTOSYSTEM II EFFICIENCY, GAS EXCHANGE, ANTIOXIDANT ENZYMES AND GROWTH IN COWPEA PLANTS EXPOSED TO WATER DEFICIT

EXAMINATION BOARD

Prof. Dr. Allan Klynger da Silva Lobato – Advisor UNIVERSIDA FEDERAL RURAL DA AMAZÔNIA – UFRA

Dr. Flávio José Rodrigues Cruz – 1º Examiner UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA "JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO – UNESP

> Prof. Dr. Heráclito Eugenio Oliveira da Conceição– 2º Examiner UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RURAL DA AMAZÔNIA – UFRA

> Prof. Dr. Roberto Cezar Lobo da Costa – 3º Examiner UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RURAL DA AMAZÔNIA – UFRA

## Agradecimentos

First of all, I thank God, the greatest teacher of all, for giving me life, wisdom, strength, determination and always showing the right way to go.

To my parents Edgar de Souza Lima and Maria de Nazaré Valente Lima, because they are always by my side, for their confidence is what strengthens me, for love and understanding in the difficult times of this journey.

To my son Josué Edgar for the joy, motivation to continue fighting and the Maria Geisiane Ávila motivation, companionship and respect.

To my brothers Maria do Socorro, Marília, Jaílson, Jairo, Jessé, Jesiel, Jhonatan, Ellen, Érika and Jóbson, for the affection and encouragement, and to all my family that somehow supported this conquest.

To my cousins, uncles, and sisters-in-law for strength and motivation.

To my supervisor Prof. Dr. Allan Klynger da Silva Lobato for the understanding, commitment, dedication and guidance to conduct this work.

To the Federal Rural University of Amazonia for the opportunity to carry out this research.

To the nucleus of basic and applied vegetal research (NPVBA) for the work accomplished and friendship formed.

To my friends in PgAGRO's study room for always being partners during this stage and for the friendship formed. Thank you.

CAPES for financial support for conducting and conducting from work.

# **DEDICATED TO**

To God for my existence

To My Parents Edgar de Souza Lima and Maria de Nazaré Valente Lima for the support Unconditional.

To my Son Josué Edgar for the source of encouragement and inspiration.

## LIST OF FIGURES

**Figure 2.** Activities of superoxide dismutase (A), catalase (B), ascorbate peroxidase (C) and peroxidase (D) in *Vigna unguiculata* plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit. Different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott-Knott test (P<0.05). Columns described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations...34

**Figure 3.** Superoxide (A), hydrogen peroxide (B), malondialdehyde (C) and electrolyte leakage (D) in *Vigna unguiculata* plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit. Different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott-Knott test (P<0.05). Columns described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations...35

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.</b> Chlorophyll fluorescence in Vigna unguiculata plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit
<b>Table 2.</b> Gas exchange in Vigna unguiculata plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit
<b>Table 3.</b> Photosynthetic pigments in <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit
Table 4. Growth in Vigna unguiculata plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit

# SUMMARY

RESUMO	10
ABSTRACT	11
1. CONTEXTUALIZATION	10
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1. General aspects of cowpea	12
2.2. General considerations about water stress	13
REFERÊNCIAS	17
PAPER	27
Brassinosteroids improve photosystem II efficiency, gas exchange, antioxidant enzymes and grov cowpea plants exposed to water deficit	<i>w</i> th of28
Abbreviations	
Introduction	29
Materials and methods	29
Location and growth conditions	29
Plants, containers and acclimation	29
Experimental design	30
24-epibrassinolide (EBR) preparation and application	30
Plant conduction and water deficit treatment	30
Measurement of chlorophyll fluorescence	30
Evaluation of gas exchange	30
Leaf water potential	30
Extraction of antioxidant enzymes, superoxide and soluble proteins	31
Superoxide dismutase assay	31
Catalase assay	31
Ascorbate peroxidase assay	31
Peroxidase assay	31
Determination of superoxide concentration	31
Extraction of nonenzymatic compounds	31
Determination of hydrogen peroxide concentration	31
Quantification of malondialdehyde concentration	31
Determination of electrolyte leakage	31
Determination of photosynthetic pigments	32
Measurements of morphological parameters	32
Data analysis	32
Results	32
Effects of water deficit and EBR on chlorophyll fluorescence	32

Improvements in the gas exchange of plants subjected to the water deficit	32
EBR increase the activities of antioxidant enzymes	32
Reduced production of oxidant compounds and cell damage induced by EBR	35
Maintenance of pigments in plants pretreated with EBR	35
EBR mitigates the effect of the water deficit on growth	36
Discussion	
References	

## **RESUMO**

O déficit hídrico é considerado o principal estresse abiótico que limita a produção agrícola mundial. Os Brassinosteroides (BRs) são substâncias naturais que desempenham papéis na tolerância da planta contra estresses abióticos, incluindo o déficit hídrico. Esta pesquisa tem como objetivo determinar se os BRs podem mitigar os efeitos negativos causados pela deficiência hídrica, revelando como os BRs atuam e sua possível contribuição ao aumento da tolerância das plantas de caupi ao déficit hídrico. O delineamento foi inteiramente casualizado em esquema fatorial, com duas condições de água (controle e déficit hídrico) e três níveis de brassinosteróides (0, 50 e 100 nM de 24-epibrassinolide). As plantas pulverizadas com EBR 100 nM sob o déficit hídrico apresentaram aumentos significativos em ΦPSII, qP e ETR em comparação com plantas sujeitas ao déficit hídrico sem EBR. Com relação à troca gasosa,  $P_N$ , E e  $g_s$  apresentaram reduções significativas após déficit hídrico, mas a aplicação de EBR 100 nM causou aumentos nessas variáveis de 96, 24 e 33%, respectivamente, em comparação com o déficit hídrico + 0 nM de tratamento EBR. Para enzimas antioxidantes, EBR resultou em aumentos das atividades das enzimas SOD, CAT, APX e POX, indicando que EBR atua sobre o sistema antioxidante, reduzindo o dano celular. O déficit hídrico causou reduções significativas em Chl a, Chl b e Chl total, enquanto que as plantas pulverizadas com EBR 100 nM apresentaram aumentos significativos de 26, 58 e 33% em Chl a, Chl b e Chl total, respectivamente. Este estudo revelou que EBR melhora a eficiência do photosystem II, induzindo aumentos em **PSII**, qP e ETR. Esta substância também atenuou os efeitos negativos sobre o intercâmbio de gases eo crescimento induzido pelo déficit hídrico. O aumento de SOD, CAT, APX e POX das plantas tratadas com EBR indicam que este esteróide aumentou claramente a tolerância ao déficit hídrico, reduzindo as espécies de oxigénio reactivo, lesões celulares e mantendo os pigmentos fotossintéticos. Adicionalmente, o EBR de 100 nM resultou numa melhor dose-resposta de plantas de caupi expostas ao déficit hídrico.

**PALAVRAS CHAVE:** Sistema antioxidante, Brassinoesteróide, Taxa fotossintética líquida, Rendimento quântico do fotosistema II, *Vigna unguiculata*, Deficiência hídrica

## ABSTRACT

Water deficit is considered the main abiotic stress that limits agricultural production worldwide. Brassinosteroids (BRs) are natural substances that play roles in plant tolerance against abiotic stresses, including water deficit. This research aims to determine whether BRs can mitigate the negative effects caused by water deficiency, revealing how BRs act and their possible contribution to increased tolerance of cowpea plants to water deficit. The experiment was a factorial design with the factors completely randomised, with two water conditions (control and water deficit) and three levels of brassinosteroids (0, 50 and 100 nM 24epibrassinolide; EBR is an active BRs). Plants sprayed with 100 nM EBR under the water deficit presented significant increases in  $\Phi_{PSII}$ ,  $q_P$  and ETR compared with plants subjected to the water deficit without EBR. With respect to gas exchange,  $P_N$ , E and  $g_s$  exhibited significant reductions after water deficit, but application of 100 nM EBR caused increases in these variables of 96, 24 and 33%, respectively, compared to the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment. To antioxidant enzymes, EBR resulted in increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX, indicating that EBR acts on the antioxidant system, reducing cell damage. The water deficit caused significant reductions in Chl a, Chl b and total Chl, while plants sprayed with 100 nM EBR showed significant increases of 26, 58 and 33% in Chl a, Chl b and total Chl, respectively. This study revealed that EBR improves photosystem II efficiency, inducing increases in  $\Phi_{PSII}$ , q<sub>P</sub> and ETR. This substance also mitigated the negative effects on gas exchange and growth induced by the water deficit. Increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX of plants treated with EBR indicate that this steroid clearly increased the tolerance to the water deficit, reducing reactive oxygen species, cell damage, and maintaining the photosynthetic pigments. Additionally, 100 nM EBR resulted in a better dose-response of cowpea plants exposed to the water deficit.

**KEYWORDS:** Antioxidant system, Brassinosteroids, Net photosynthetic rate, Quantum yield of photosystem II, *Vigna unguiculata*, Water deficiency

### **1. CONTEXTUALIZATION**

The cowpea is a herbaceous legume, belonging to the Fabaceae family, presents composite and trifoliolate leaves (Pottorff et al. 2012), a granifera of African origin and widely cultivated in Brazil, mainly in the north and northeast regions, contributing directly to the feeding of the rural populations (Freitas et al. 2014). This species presents great economic and social importance for Brazil (Leite and Virgens Filho 2004), since the grains are sources of nutrients, containing on average 23-25% of protein and 50-67% of carbohydrates (DEVI et al. 2015), as well as minerals such as iron and zinc (PEREIRA et al. 2016).

The northern and northeastern regions of the Brazilian present favorable conditions for cultivation of this crop, in which it is potentialized as the most productive regions of cowpea in country. The Brazil is third largest producer of cowpea, losing only to Nigeria and Niger, respectively, with average productivity around 300 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (LEITE et al. 2009; SANTOS et al. 2014; TORRES et al. 2015). The Pará state is the second largest producer of cowpea in northern region, with averages productivity of 746 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for crop of 2016 (CONAB, 2016).

Several environmental stresses have negatively influenced world agricultural production, mainly for cowpea cultivation, where water deficit becomes a limiting factor for growth and development of this species (MENDES et al. 2007; AHMED and SULIMAN 2010). This stress become impossible what plants present their productive potential, photosynthesis reducing, leading productivity decrease in the producing regions (GUERFEL et al. 2009).

The water deficit is one of the most important abiotic stresses that causes great damage to agriculture, mainly in arid and semi-arid regions, besides promoting the decrease of crop productivity (MUNNS, 2002; FOOLAD et al. 2003; MIR et al. 2012). This stress causes several negative impacts to the plant, occurring at the cell level, through the loss of cellule turgor and reduction of water *status* of the plant. In addition, water deficiency can also present diverse responses such as reduction of water potential, increase of ROS accumulation and may even lead to death plant, depending time of exposure and intensity (CHAVES et al. 2003; SHAO et al. 2008; GONÇALVES et al. 2010; FERNANDES-SILVA et al. 2016; YI et al. 2016).

In response to this stress, studies reveal effective mechanisms that attenuate the negative effects caused by several stresses, among them is new class of phytohormone called brassinosteroids, where this compound acts in tolerance to water deficit in plants (KRISHNA 2003; BAJGUZ 2011).

The brassinosteroids (BRs) is a steroidal poly-hydroxy characterized as a new phytohormone group occurring in the plant kingdom, with the capacity to promote the growth and development of plants (Bartwal et al. 2013) beyond attenuate negative effects caused by several biotic and abiotic stresses (BAJGUZ and HAYAT 2009).

In relation to the water deficit, this steroid mitigated the negative effects on leaf water potential in cowpea plants (Souza et al. 2004), influencing gas exchange in *Brassica juncea* plants, increasing the photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance and water use efficiency (Fariduddin et al. 2009), besides reduce oxidative damages due the increases of the activities antioxidant enzymes in plants of *Lycopersicon esculentum* (YUAN et al. 2010).

Our hypothesis was based on the problems caused by the water deficit and considered the possible benefits of this steroid (EBR, an active BRs) on metabolism. Facing this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the ability of brassinosteroids mitigate negative effects caused by water deficit in plants of cowpea-bean.

### **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### 2.1. General aspects of cowpea

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is one world main food crops cultivated with a wide distribution in the in arid and semi-arid regions, with small farmers being the main producers of beans with a focus on dry green grain production and beyond pod for the family subsistence of rural populations (PANDEY and DHANASEKAR 2004; FREIRE FILHO et al. 2011). The cowpea are one of the oldest legumes existent already of genre Dicotyledonea, Fabales order, Fabaceae family, Faboideae subfamily, Phaseoleae tribe,Phaseolineae subtribe, Vigna genus, Vigna subgenus, Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subspecies unguiculata (MARÉCHAL et al. 1978; PADULOSI and NG, 1997).

Cowpea was introduced to Brazil the Portuguese settlers in the half of the XVI century, first by state of Bahia and expanded in all the states of the northeast and widespread posteriorly to all Brazilian regions (FREIRE FILHO 1988). Cowpea have presents several common names depending gives region, and the best known are string beans, macassar beans in northeast region, beach beans and beans at North region and small beans in the South (FREIRE FILHO et al. 1983; FROTA et al. 2008).

From the Northeast region only the states Piauí, Ceará and Bahia together concentrate a cultivation area of approximately 1.2 million ha, however the northern regions have about 55.8 thousand ha (TEÓFILO et al 2008; TEIXEIRA et al 2010). Northeast Brazil has the largest planted area of the country around 1,625,600 ha with production of about 668.0 thousand tons with productivity of 410 kg ha, but lower than the other regions as the west center with average productivity of 1,865 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (CONAB 2014). Cowpea is a species cultivated by the traditional communities of the north and northeast regions, because in nutritional matters it is considered superior in comparison to the common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris*, besides presenting cost low of production (MARINHO et al. 2001).

The cowpea is a legume that contribute positively to the environment mainly to soil through the high fixation biological nitrogen capacity (BNF), where symbiotic bacteria of *Bradyrhizobium*, *Azorhizobium* and *Rhizobium* act in the nodulation of this compound incorporating soil, which posteriorly available for crops (XAVIER et al. 2006). The absorption of nitrogen by plants through this system results in the nutritional supply called green fertilization, which become an efficient and very important practice to increase crop productivity (FRANCO et al. 2002).

Besides the cowpea be a crop of great adaptability to diverse edafoclimatic conditions of the Brazilian regions, this species undergoes several of productivity reductions due to several environmental stresses such as water deficit, to which it becomes one main factors that limits the productive potential this culture mainly in three critical stages, being the germination, flowering and of grains filling (SORATTO et al. 2003).

### 2.2. General considerations about water stress

The water stress is dominated how environmental stresses, which characterizes one of the main factors that influence the fall in world agricultural production (ASHRAF and FOOLAD 2007). The stresse can be biotic and abiotic, by present a limiting factor for the growth and development of the crops, being that biotic stress encompasses damage cause through the action of pathogens, insects and injuries, while the abiotic includes heavy metals, salinity, temperature, light , radiation and water stress (GALMÉS et al. 2007; NANKISHOREA and FARREL, 2016).

The water deficit happens when the water availability is lower than the plant loses through transpiration, that is, at the moment when occurs negative water balance. Among the stresses the cowpea is sensitive to the water deficit, which is one of the main factors that influence agricultural productivity, which suggests that the water supply is fundamental for the development of this crop, since the cowpea does not tolerate the water potential below of - 2.5 MPa, since it becomes lethal for this crop, which indicates the sensitivity of the water deficit of the plant (BLACK et al. 2001; SORATTO et al. 2003; NASCIMENTO et al. 2011). The lack water causes several damage to the plant organism directly affecting the water *status* 

of the plant reducing water availability and determined by the analysis of the water potential, that represents the free energy available accomplish chemical work.

The plant under water deficit undergoes several physiological changes such your gas exchanges, that as measure stress increases, the plant produces several defense mechanism among them the osmoregulators with the intention of remaining the hydrated tissue and maintaining the functioning of the plant organism (INMAN-BAMBER and SMITH 2005). Under stress condition the occurs reduction of stomatal conductance and transpiration, avoiding losses of water in the form vapor to the external environment and consequently reducing of the photosynthetic activity (JALEEL et al. 2009; ZHAO et al. 2010; GONÇALVES et al. 2010).

The water deficiency causes several changes in the behavior of agricultural crops, and the plant needs the water to perform chemical work and thus maintain the functioning of the plant organism, as the water deficit limits development and yield of this species (MARTINS et al. 2010). On the other hand, excess water also becomes a major problem for several plants called stress by flood, where certain plants do not tolerate this method making it unviable for the growth and development of the crops, evidently by reducing the concentration of oxygen, unfeasible the breath of the roots (BAILEY-SERRES and VOESENEK 2008; LORETI et al. 2016).

The bean present sensitivity when submitted to a water stress condition, directly affecting recovery capacity, reduction of root development, low productivity, besides compromising the quality of the grains (BACK, 2001; BLUM 2009; HALL and RICHARDS 2013; LIU et al. 2013).

In view of the foregoing, in order to increase plant tolerance to water deficit, several mechanisms are adopted to mitigate this problem, being that the use of the brassinosteroid steroid compound presents an efficient capacity to mitigate negative effects of several stresses, among them the water deficit (BAJGUZ and HAYAT 2009).

When plants are exposed to water deficit, this stress can compromise the performance of PSII and thereby induce excessive accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radical ( $O^{-2}$ ) and hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) that are harmful to plant cells causing

the called oxidative stress (MUNNS and TESTER 2008; EL-MASHAD and MOHAMED, 2012).

The accumulation of ROS induces concomitant increase in the activities of antioxidant enzymes SOD, CAT, APX and POX as form of plant protection against stress (FARIDUDDIN et al.2011). The SOD specifically dismutase  $O_2$  to form  $H_2O_2$  (BEN AHMED et al. 2009) while CAT and APX break H2O2 to form H2O and O2 (YUAN et al. 2016).

In conditions of water deficit the application of brassinosteroids increases the perfomace of antioxidant enzymes activities to neutralize and reduce the accumulation of ROS, and in addition to improve the functioning of plant metabolism (FARIDUDDIN et al., 2009b; JIANG et al 2012). This indicates that these steroids have the ability to increase plant tolerance when subjected the various stresses such as oxidative stress (SURGUN et al. 2016).

### 2.3. Brassinosteróids

The brassinosteroids are polyhydroxysteroids considered as the sixth plant hormones, play an important role in the growth and development of plants and inclusive to tolerance various stresses such as salinity, low and high temperature, heavy metals and drought (CLOUSE and SASSE 1998; KRISHNA 2003; WANG et al. 2015). This hormone is found in several parts of the plant such as pollen, flower buttons, shoots, leaves, roots, vascular cambium, seeds and fruits, however this compound also presents analogy to the structure of steroid hormones found in animals and insects (BAJGUZ and HAYAT 2009; BARTWAL et al. 2013).

The first brassinosteroids were reported in the 1970s identified in the Brassica napus pollen extract which promoted the stem elongation and the cell division in the assay in the bean enternode (MITCHELL et al. 1971; MANDAVA 1988; OKLESTKOVA et al. 2015).

The brassynolide is considered biologically one of the most active steroids of this phytohormone capable of promoting physiological and metabolic changes in plants, which in small concentrations performs several functions such as, cell division, stretching, vascular differentiation and modulation expression of gene (SHU et al. 2016).

This steroid has the ability to improve the performance of various chemical reactions, that since its discovery, the brassinosteroids ccurs throughout the vegetable kingdom in 64 plant species, including 53 angiosperms (12 monocotyledons and 41 dicotyledons), 6 gymnosperms, 1 pteridophyte, 1 bryophyte and 3 algae, which have been used in several areas of knowledge such as medicine, molecular biology, plant physiology and agriculture through the ability of regulate various growth and development biological processes, from germination to abscission and plant maturation (MÜSSIG, 2005; HOLÁ et al. 2010; BAJGUZ 2011; FARIDUDDIN et al. 2014).

The brassinosteroids are essential in the induction of stomatal closure and in the inhibition of the stomata opening through the negative regulation by the blockade of the  $K^+$  entrance, decreasing the osmotic potential and consequently in the increase of the water potential, allowing the transport of water from the guiding cells to the subsidiaries leaving them dehydrated and thus reduces stomatal conductance (DASZKOWSKA-GOLEC and SZAREJKO 2013).

### REFERENCES

AHMED, F.E.; SULIMAN, A.S. Effect of water stress applied at different stages of growth on seed yield and water-use efficiency of cowpea. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America, v. 1, n. 4, p. 534-540, 2010.

ASHRAF, M.; FOOLAD, M.R. Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. **Environmental and Experimental Botany,** v. 59, n. 2, p. 206-216, 2007.

BAJGUZ, A. Brassinosteroids–occurence and chemical structures in plants. In: **Brassinosteroids: a class of plant hormone**. Springer Netherlands, 2011. p. 1-27.

BAJGUZ, A.; HAYAT, S. Effects of brassinosteroids on the plant responses to environmental stresses. **Plant Physiology and Biochemistry**, v. 47, n. 1, p. 1-8, 2009.

BAILEY-SERRES, J.; VOESENEK, L.A.C.J. Flooding Stress: Acclimations and Genetic Diversity. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., v. 59, p. 313-339, 2008.

BARTWAL, A.; MALL, R.; LOHANI, P.; GURU, S.K.; ARORA, S. Role of secondary metabolites and brassinosteroids in plant defense against environmental stresses. **Journal of plant growth regulation**, v. 32, n. 1, p. 216-232, 2013

AHMED, C.B.; ROUINA, B.B.; SENSOY, S.; BOUKHRIS, M.; ABDALLAH, F.B. Changes in gas exchange, proline accumulation and antioxidative enzyme activities in three olive cultivars under contrasting water availability regimes. **Environmental and experimental botany**, v. 67, n. 2, p. 345-352, 2009.

BLACK, G.J.; CAMPBELL, D.B.; NICHOLSON.; P.D. Icy Galilean satellites: Modeling radar reflectivities as a coherent backscatter effect. **Icarus**, v. 151, n. 2, p. 167-180, 2001

BLUM, A. Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the target of crop yield improvement under drought stress. **Field Crops Research**, v. 112, n. 2, p. 119-123, 2009

CHAVES, M.M.; MAROCO, J.P.; PEREIRA, J.S. Understanding plant responses to drought – from genes to the whole plant. **Functional plant biology**, v. 30, n. 3, p. 239-264, 2003.

CLOUSE, S.D.; SASSE, J.M. Brassinosteroids: Essential regulators of plant growth and development. Annual review of plant biology, v.49, p. 427–51, 1998.

COMPANHIA NACIONAL DE ABASTECIMENTO. Acompanhamento de safra brasileira: grãos, décimo segundo levantamento. Brasília 1: 1–127, 2014.

COMPANHIA NACIONAL DE ABASTECIMENTO. Acompanhamento da safra brasileira de grãos. v.1, n. 1, Brasília. Disponível em http://www.conab.gov.br. Acesso em 16 de outubro 2016

DASZKOWSKA-GOLEC, A.; SZAREJKO, I. Open or close the gate – stomata action under the control of phytohormones in drought stress conditions. Frontiers in plant science, v. 4, p. 138, 2013.

DEVI, C.B.; KUSHWAHA, A.; KUMAR, A. Sprouting characteristics and associated changes in nutritional composition of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata), Journal of food science and technology, v. 52, n. 10, p. 6821-6827, 2015.

EL-MASHAD, A.A.A AND MOHAMED, H.I. Brassinolide alleviates salt stress and increases antioxidant activity of cowpea plants (*Vigna sinensis*), **Protoplasma**, v. 249, n. 3, p. 625-635, 2012.

FARIDUDDIN Q, KHANAM S, HASAN SA, ALI B, HAYAT S, AHMAD A. Effect of 28homobrassinolide on the drought stress-induced changes in photosynthesis and antioxidant system of *Brassica juncea* L, **Acta Physiologiae Plantarum**, v. 31, n. 5, p. 889-897, 2009.

FARIDUDDIN, Q.; YUSUF, M.; CHALKOO, S.; HAYAT, S.; AHMAD, A. 28homobrassinolide improves growth and photosynthesis in Cucumis sativus L. through an enhanced antioxidant system in the presence of chilling stress, **Photosynthetica**, v. 49, n. 1, p. 55-64, 2011.

FARIDUDDIN, Q.; YUSUF, M.; HAYAT, S.; AHMAD, A. Effect of 28- homobrassinolide on antioxidant capacity and photosynthesis in Brassica juncea plants exposed to different levels of copper, Environmental and Experimental Botany, v. 66, n. 3, p. 418-424, 2009.

FARIDUDDIN, Q.; YUSUF, M.; AHMAD, I.; AHMAD, A. Brassinosteroids and their role in response of plants to abiotic stresses, **Biologia Plantarum**, v. 58, n. 1, p. 9-17, 2014.

FERNANDES-SILVA, A.A.; LÓPEZ-BERNAL, A.; FERREIRA, T.C.; VILLALOBOS, F.J. Leaf water relations and gas exchange response to water deficit of olive (cv. Cobrançosa) in field grown conditions in Portugal. **Plant and Soil**, v. 402, n. 1-2, p. 191-209, 2016.

FOOLAD, M.R.; ZHANG, L.P.; SUBBIAH, P. Genetics of drought tolerance during seed germination in tomato: inheritance and QTL mapping. **Genome**, v. 46, n. 4, p. 536-545, 2003.

FRANCO, M.C.; CASSINI, S.T.A.; OLIVEIRA, V.R.; VIEIRA, C.; TSAI, S.M. Nodulation in Andean and Mesoamerican cultivars of dry bean. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v. 37, n. 8, p. 1145-1150, 2002.

FREIRE FILHO, F.R Origem, evolução e domesticação do caupi. In: ARAUJO, J.P.P.; WATT, E.E (Org.). **O caupi no Brasil**. Embrapa 26–46

FREIRE FILHO, F.R.; CARDOSO, M.J.; ARAÚJO, A.G, Caupi: nomenclatura científica e nomes vulgares. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v. 18, n. 12, p. 1369-1372, 1983.

FREIRE FILHO, F.R.; RIBEIRO, V.Q.; ROCHA, M.M.; SILVA, K.J.D.; NOGUEIRA, M.S.R.; RODRIGUES, E.V. Feijão-caupi no Brasil: Produção, melhoramento genético, avanços e desafios. Teresina: Embrapa Meio-Norte, v. 1, 2011.

FREITAS, R.M.O.; DOMBROSKI, J.L.D.; FREITAS, F.C.L.; NOGUEIRA, N.W.; PINTO, J.R.S Cowpea growth as affected by dry spell in no-tillage and conventional crop systems. **Bioscience journal**, v. 30, n. 2, p. 393-401, 2014

FROTA, K.M.G.; SOARES, R.A.M.; ARÊAS, J.A.G. Chemical composition of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp), BRS-Milênio cultivar. Food Science and Technology (Campinas), v. 28, n. 2, p. 470-476, 2008.

GALMÉS, J.; MEDRANO, H.; FLEXAS, J. Photosynthetic limitations in response to water stress and recovery in Mediterranean plants with different growth forms. **New Phytologist**, v. 175, n. 1, p. 81-93, 2007.

GONÇALVES, E.R.; FERREIRA, V.M.; SILVA, J.V.; ENDRES, L.; BARBOSA, T.P.; DUARTE, W.G. Gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence of sugarcane varieties submitted to water stress. **Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental**, v. 14, n. 4, p. 378-386, 2010.

GUERFEL, M.; BEIS, A.; ZOTOS, T.; BOUJNAH, D.; ZARROUK, M.; PATAKAS, A. Differences in abscisic acid concentration in roots and leaves of two young Olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars in response to water deficit. **Acta physiologiae plantarum**, v. 31, n. 4, p. 825-831, 2009.

HALL, A.J.; RICHARDS, R.A. Prognosis for genetic improvement of yield potential and water-limited yield of major grain crops. **Field Crops Research**, v. 143, p. 18-33, 201.

HOLÁ D, ROTHOVÁ, O.; KOCOVÁ, M.; KOHOUT, L.; KVASNICA, M. The effect of brassinosteroids on the morphology, development and yield of field-grown maize. **Plant** growth regulation, v. 61, n. 1, p. 29-43, 2010.

INMAN-BAMBER, N.G.; SMITH, D.M. Water relations in sugarcane and response to water deficits. Field crops research, v. 92, n. 2, p. 185-202, 2005.

JALEEL, C.A.; MANIVANNAN, P.; WAHID, A.; FAROOQ, M.; AL-JUBURI, H.J.; SOMASUNDARAM, R.; PANNEERSELVAM, R. Drought stress in plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition, **International journal of agriculture & biology**, v. 11, n. 1, p. 100-105, 2009.

JIANG, Y. P., HUANG, L. F., CHENG, F., ZHOU, Y. H., XIA, X. J., MAO, W. H., YU, J. Q. Brassinosteroids accelerate recovery of photosynthetic apparatus from cold stress by balancing the electron partitioning, carboxylation and redox homeostasis in cucumber, **Physiologia plantarum**, v. 148, n. 1, p. 133-145, 2013.

KRISHNA, P. Brassinosteroid-Mediated Stress Responses. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, v. 22, n. 4, p. 289-297, 2003.

LEITE, L.F.C.; ARAUJO, A.S.F.; COSTA, C.N.; RIBEIRO, A.M.B. Nodulation and grain yield of cowpea in response to molybdenum. **Revista Ciência Agronômica**, v. 40, n. 4, p. 492, 2009.

LEITE, M.L.; VIRGENS FILHO, J.S. Dry matter production of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (1.) walp) plants submitted to water deficits. **Publicatio UEPG: Ciências Exatas e da Terra, Agrárias e Engenharias**, v. 10, n. 01, 2004.

LIU, S.; WANG, X.; WANG, H.; XIN, H.; YANG, X.; YAN, J.; TRAN, L-S. P.; SHINOZAKI, K.; YAMAGUCHI-SHINOZAKI, K.; QIN F. Genome-ampla análise de

*ZmDREB* genes e sua associação com a variação natural em tolerância à seca na fase de mudas de *Zea mays* L. **PLoS Genetics**, v. 9, n. 9, p. e1003790, 2013.

LORETI, E.; VAN VEEN, H.; PERATA, P. Plant responses to flooding stress. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, v. 33, p. 64-71, 2016.

LUO, H.H.; ZHANG, Y.L.; ZHANG, W.F. Effects of water stress and rewatering on photosynthesis, root activity, and yield of cotton with drip irrigation under mulch. **Photosynthetica**, v. 54, n. 1, p. 65-73, 2016.

MANDAVA, N.B. Plant growth-promoting brassinosteroids. Annual review of plant physiology and plant molecular biology, v. 39, n. 1, p. 23-52, 1988.

MARÉCHAL, R.; MASCHERPA, J. M.; STAINIER, F. Étude taxonomique d'um groupe complexe d'espèces de genres Phaseolus et Vigna (Papilionaceae) sur la base de donneés morplhologiques et polliniques, traitées par l'analyse informatique. **Boissiera**, v. 28, p. 2-7, 1978.

MARINHO, J.T.S.; PEREIRA, R.C.; COSTA, J.G. CARACTERIZAÇÃO DE CULTIVARES DE CAUPI (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Waip), EM PLANTIOS NO ACRE. Embrapa Acre. Boletim de Pesquisa, 2001.

MARTINS, J.D.; CARLESSO, R.; KNIES, A.E.; OLIVEIRA, Z.B.; BROETTO, T.; RODRIGUES, G.J. Leaf water potential in maize exposed to water deficit, **IRRIGA**, v. 15, n. 3, p. 324-334, 2010.

MENDES RMS, TÁVORA FJAF, PINHO J L N, PITOMBEIRA JB (2007) Source-sink relationships in cowpea under drought stress. **Revista Ciência Agronômica**, v. 38, n. 1, p. 95, 2007.

MIR, R.R.; ZAMAN-ALLAH, M.; SREENIVASULU, N.; TRETHOWAN ,R.; VARSHNEY, R.K. Integrated genomics, physiology and breeding approaches for improving drought tolerance in crops. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v. 125, n. 4, p. 625-645, 2012.

MITCHELL, J.W.; MANDAVA, N.; WORLEY, J.F.; DROWNE, M.E. Fatty hormones in pollen and immature seeds of bean. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, v. 19, n. 2, p. 391-393, 1971.

MUNNS, R. Comparative physiology of salt and water stress, **Plant, cell & environment**, v. 25, n. 2, p. 239-250, 2002.

MUNNS, R., TESTER, M. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual Reviews of Plant Biology, v. 59, p. 651-681, 2008.

MUSSIG, C. Brassinosteroid-promoted growth. Plant biology, v. 7, n. 02, p. 110-117, 2005.

NANKISHOREA, A.; FARRELL, A.D. The response of contrasting tomato genotypes to combined heat and drought stress. **Journal of Plant Physiology**, v. 202, p. 75-82, 2016.

NASCIMENTO, S.P.; BASTOS ,E.A.; ARAÚJO, E.C.E.; FREIRE FILHO, R.R.; SILVA, E.M.D. Tolerance to water deficit of cowpea genotypes, **Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental**, v. 15, n. 8, p. 853-860, 2011.

OKLESTKOVA, J.; RÁROVA, L.; KVASNICA, M.; STRNAD, M. Brassinosteroids: synthesis and biological activities. **Phytochemistry reviews**, v. 14, n. 6, p. 1053-1072, 2015.

PADULOSI, S.; NG, N.Q. Origin taxonomy, and morphology of Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. In: Singh BB, Mohan R, Dashiell KE, Jackai LEN. (Ed.). Advances in cowpea research. Ibadan: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture; Tsukuba: JIRCAS 1–12, 1997.

PANDEY, R.N.; DHANASEKAR, P. Morphological Features and Inheritance of Foliaceous Stipules of Primary Leaves in Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata). Annals of botany, v. 94, n. 3, p. 469-471, 2004.

PEREIRA, E.J.; CARVALHO, L.M.J.; DELLAMORA-ORTIZ, G.M.; CARDOSO, F.S.N.; CARVALHO, J.L.V. Effect of different home-cooking methods on the bioaccessibility of zinc and iron in conventionally bred cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) consumed in Brazil, **Food & nutrition research**, v. 60, 2016.

POTTORFF, M.; EHLERS, J.D.; FATOKUN, C.; ROBERTS, P.A.; CLOSE T.J. Leaf morphology in Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp]: QTL analysis, physical mapping and identifying a candidate gene using synteny with model legume species. **BMC genomics**, v. 13, n. 1, p. 234, 2012.

SANTOS, J.A.S.; TEODORO, P.E, CORREA, A.M.; SOARES, C.M.G.; RIBEIRO, L.P.; ABREU, H.K.A. Agronomic performance and genetic divergence among cowpea genotypes in Cerrado/ Pantanal ecotone. **Bragantia**, v. 73, n. 4, p. 377-382, 2014.

SHAO, H.B.; CHU, L.Y.; JALEEL, C.A.; ZHAO, C.X. Water-deficit stress-induced anatomical changes in higher plants. **Comptes rendus biologies**, v. 331, n. 3, p. 215-225, 2008

SORATTO, R.P.; ARF, O.; RODRIGUES, R.A.F.; BUZETTI, S.; SILVA, T.R.B. Common bean response to soil tillage, water management and nitrogen split application. Acta Scientiarum-Agronomy, v. 25, n. 1, p. 89-96, 2003.

SOUZA, R.P.; MACHADO, E.C.; SILVA, J.A.B.; LAGÔA, A.M.M.A.; SILVEIRA, J.A.G. Photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and some associated metabolic changes in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) during water stress and recovery. **Environmental and experimental botany**, v. 51, n. 1, p. 45-56, 2004.

SURGUN, Y.; ÇÖL, B.; BÜRÜN, B. 24-Epibrassinolide ameliorates the effects of boron toxicity on Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh by activating an antioxidant system and decreasing boron accumulation. Acta physiologiae plantarum, v. 38, n. 3, p. 1-11, 2016.

TEIXEIRA, I.R.; SILVA, G.C.; OLIVEIRA, J.P.R.; SILVA, A.G.; PELÁ, A. Agronomic performance and quality of seeds of cowpea cultivar in the Brazilian "cerrado" region. **Revista Ciência Agronômica**, v. 41, n. 2, p. 300-307, 2010.

TEÓFILO, E.M.; DUTRA, A.S.; PITIMBEIRA, J.B.; DIAS, F.T.C.; BARBOSA, F.S. Physiological potential of cowpea seeds produced in two regions of the state of Ceará. **Revista Ciência Agronômica**, v. 39, n. 3, p. 443, 2008.

TORRES, F.E.; TEODORO, P.E.; SAGRILO, E.; CECCON, G.; CORREA, A.M. Genotype x environment interaction in semiprostrade cowpea genotypes via mixed models. Bragantia, v. 74, n. 3, p. 255-260, 2015.

WANG, Z.; ZHENG, P.; MENG, J.; XI, Z. Effect of exogenous 24-epibrassinolide on chlorophyll fluorescence, leaf surface morphology and cellular ultrastructure of grape seedlings (Vitis vinifera L.) under water stress. Acta physiologiae plantarum, v. 37, n. 1, p. 1-12, 2015.

XAVIER, G.R.; MARTINS, L.M.V.; RIBEIRO, J.R.A.; RUMJANEK, N.G. Especificidade simbiótica entre rizóbios e acessos de feijão-caupi de diferentes nacionalidades. **Revista Caatinga**, v. 19, n. 1, 2006.

YI, X.P.; ZHANGA, Y.L.; YAO, H.S.; LUO, H.H.; GOU, L.; CHOW, W.S.; ZHANG, W.F. Rapid recovery of photosynthetic rate following soil water deficit and re-watering in cotton plants (Gossypium herbaceum L.) is related to the stability of the photosystems. **Journal of plant physiology**, v. 194, p. 23-34, 2016.

YUAN, G.F.; JIA, C.G.; LI, Z.; SUN, B.; ZHANG, L.P.; LIU, N.; WANG, Q.M. Effect of brassinosteroids on drought resistance and abscisic acid concentration in tomato under water stress, **Scientia Horticulturae**, v. 126, n. 2, p. 103-108, 2010.

YUAN, X. K.,; YANG, Z. Q.; LI, Y. X.; LIU, Q., HAN, W. Effects of different levels of water stress on leaf photosynthetic characteristics and antioxidant enzyme activities of greenhouse tomato. **Photosynthetica**, v. 54, n. 1, p. 28-39, 2016.

ZHAO, D.; GLAZK, B.; COMSTOCK, J.C. Sugarcane response to water deficit stress during early growth on organic and sand soils, **American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences**, v. 5, n. 3, p. 403-414, 2010.

# PAPER



# Brassinosteroids improve photosystem II efficiency, gas exchange, antioxidant enzymes and growth of cowpea plants exposed to water deficit

J. V. Lima<sup>1</sup>  $\cdot$  A. K. S. Lobato<sup>1</sup>

Received: 8 September 2016 / Revised: 9 December 2016 / Accepted: 23 December 2016 © Prof. H.S. Srivastava Foundation for Science and Society 2017

Abstract Water deficit is considered the main abiotic stress that limits agricultural production worldwide. Brassinosteroids (BRs) are natural substances that play roles in plant tolerance against abiotic stresses, including water deficit. This research aims to determine whether BRs can mitigate the negative effects caused by water defi- ciency, revealing how BRs act and their possible contri- bution to increased tolerance of cowpea plants to water deficit. The experiment was a factorial design with the factors completely randomised, with two water conditions (control and water deficit) and three levels of brassinos- teroids (0, 50 and 100 nM 24-epibrassinolide; EBR is an

active BRs). Plants sprayed with 100 nM EBR under the water deficit presented significant increases in  $U_{PSII}$ ,  $q_P$  and ETR compared with plants subjected to the water deficit without EBR. With respect to gas exchange,  $P_N$ , E and  $g_s$ exhibited significant reductions after water deficit, but application of 100 nM EBR caused increases in these variables of 96, 24 and 33%, respectively, compared to the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment. To antioxidant enzymes, EBR resulted in increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX, indicating that EBR acts on the antioxidant system, reducing cell damage. The water deficit caused significant reductions in Chl a, Chl b and total Chl, while plants sprayed with 100 nM EBR showed significant increases of 26, 58 and 33% in Chl a, Chl b and total Chl, respectively. This study revealed that EBR improves pho-

tosystem II efficiency, inducing increases in  $U_{PSII}$ ,  $q_P$  and

& A. K. S. Lobato

ETR. This substance also mitigated the negative effects on gas exchange and growth induced by the water deficit. Increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX of plants treated with EBR indicate that this steroid clearly increased the tolerance to the water deficit, reducing reactive oxygen species, cell damage, and maintaining the photosynthetic pigments. Additionally, 100 nM EBR resulted in a better dose-response of cowpea plants exposed to the water deficit.

Keywords Antioxidant system · Brassinosteroids · Net photosynthetic rate · Quantum yield of photosystem II · Vigna unguiculata · Water deficiency

#### Abbreviations

APX	Ascorbate peroxidase
BRs	Brassinosteroids
Car	Carotenoids
CAT	Catalase
Chl a	Chlorophyll a
Chl b	Chlorophyll b
$C_{ m i}$	Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> concentration
$CO_2$	Carbon dioxide
Ε	Transpiration rate
EBR	24-epibrassinolide
EL	Electrolyte leakage
ETR	Electron transport rate
$\mathrm{ETR}/P_{\mathrm{N}}$	Ratio between the electron transport rate and
net photosynth	netic rate
EXC	Relative energy excess at the PSII level
$F_0$	Minimal fluorescence yield of the dark-
adapted state	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{m}}$	Maximal fluorescence yield of the dark-
adapted state	
Fv	Variable fluorescence

Variable fluorescence

allanllobato@yahoo.com.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Núcleo de Pesquisa Vegetal Básica e Aplicada, Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Rodovia PA 256, Paragominas, Pará, Brazil

$F_v/F_m$	Maximal quantum yield of PSII
	photochemistry
$g_{\rm s}$	Stomatal conductance
$H_2O_2$	Hydrogen peroxide
LDM	Leaf dry matter
MDA	Malondialdehyde
NPQ	Nonphotochemical quenching
$O_2$	Superoxide
$P_{ m N}$	Net photosynthetic rate
$P_{ m N}/C_{ m i}$	Instantaneous carboxylation efficiency
POX	Peroxidase
PSII	Photosystem II
$q_P$	Photochemical quenching coefficient
RDM	Root dry matter
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
RUBISCO	Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/
	oxygenase
SDM	Stem dry matter
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
TDM	Total dry matter
Total Chl	Total chrolophyllchlorophyll
WUE	Water-use efficiency
$\Phi_{\rm PSII}$	Effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry
$\Psi_{\rm w}$	Leaf water potential

### Introduction

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is one of the most important legume species used in human food and animal feed and is largely cultivated in semi-arid regions due to its broad adaptability and low water and nutrient requirements (Agele et al. 2006; Manivannan et al. 2007; Barbosa et al. 2013). The grains represent the focus of this culture, with socioeconomic importance due to their high content of proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins, and minerals, such as phosphorus and potassium, compared with other legumes (Phillips et al. 2003; Iqbal et al. 2006; Frota et al. 2008).

Water deficit is considered the main abiotic stress that limits agricultural production worldwide (Inman-Bamber and Smith 2005). This stress often causes molecular, biochemical and physiological modifications (Marinho et al. 2016; Boughalleb et al. 2016; Pereira et al. 2016) that negatively affect metabolism (Perlikowski et al. 2016), reducing the growth and development (Mansori et al. 2015), as well as the crop yield (Luo et al. 2016). Water limitations reduce the water potential (Fernandes-Silva et al. 2012), lower the photosynthetic activity (Bertolli et al. 2012), affect stomatal closing (Spinelli et al. 2016), affect the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (Yi et al. 2016), cause cell damages (Toscano et al. 2016) and depending on the exposure time and intensity, can cause plant death (Chaves et al. 2003; Shao et al. 2008).

Brassinosteroids (BRs), compounds characterized as polyhydroxy steroids, occur in several plant organs, such as the leaf, root, flower and seed (Sasse 2003; Kagale et al. 2007; Bajguz and Hayat 2009). BRs, a class of phytohormones characterized as natural substances essential to plant growth and development (Khripach et al. 2000; Li and Feng 2011), play a role in the regulation of metabolic processes, such as respiration (Derevyanchuk et al. 2015). BRs play roles in plant tolerance under abiotic stresses, such as salinity in Brassica juncea (Alyemeni et al. 2013), metal toxicity in Raphanus sativus (Ramakrishna and Rao 2015), high temperature in Vigna radiata (Hayat et al. 2010), and low light intensity in Lycopersicon esculentum (Cui et al. 2016). With respect to water deficit in particular, BRs have been shown to mitigate the negative effects on gas exchange of Brassica juncea plants, increasing the photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance and water-use efficiency (Fariduddin et al. 2009). In addition, this sub- stance also can reduce the oxidative damages due to increases in antioxidant enzyme activities in Lycopersicon

esculentum plants (Yuan et al. 2010).

Our hypothesis was based on problems caused by water deficit and considered the possible beneficial role played by 24-epibrassinolide (EBR; an active BRs) in metabolism. Therefore, this research aims to determine whether EBR can mitigate the negative effects caused by water defi- ciency, revealing how EBR acts and its possible contri- bution to increasing the tolerance of cowpea plants to water deficit.

#### Materials and methods

### Location and growth conditions

The experiment was performed at the Campus of Paragominas of the Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Paragominas, Brazil ( $2^{\circ}55^{\circ}$  S,  $47^{\circ}34^{\circ}$  W). The study was conducted in a greenhouse under controlled temperature and humidity conditions; the minimum, maximum, and median temperatures were 23, 32 and 26.5 °C, respectively. The air relative humidity during the experi- mental period varied between 60 and 80%.

#### Plants, containers and acclimation

Seeds of *Vigna unguiculata* L. cv. BR3-Tracuateua were germinated and grown in 1.2-L pots (0.15 m in height and 0.10 m in diameter) filled with a mixed substrate of sand and vermiculite in a 3:1 ratio. Plants were cultivated under semi-hydroponic conditions, and the pots had one hole at

the bottom, which was covered with mesh to maintain the substrate and aerate the roots. Solution absorption occurred by capillarity; these pots were placed into other containers (0.15 m in height and 0.15 m in diameter) containing 500 mL of distilled water for five d. Modified Hoagland and Arnon's (1950) solution was used as a source of nutrients; the ionic strength started at 50% and was mod- ified to 100% after 1 day. Subsequently, the nutrient solution remained at total ionic strength.

#### **Experimental design**

The experiment was a factorial design with the factors completely randomised, with two water conditions (control and water deficit) and three levels of brassinosteroids (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR). With five replicates for each of six treatments, a total of 30 experimental units were used in the experiment, with one plant in each unit. The brassinosteroids concentrations (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR) used in our research were defined in concordance with study of Amzallag and Vaisman (2006), while the application interval (six days) was determined by the responses obtained in previous studies with *Vigna unguiculata* plants.

# 24-epibrassinolide (EBR) preparation and application

6-day-old seedlings were sprayed with 24-epibrassinolide (EBR) or Milli-Q water (containing a proportion of ethanol that was equal to that used to prepare the EBR solution) at 6-day intervals until day 18. The 0, 50 and 100 nM EBR (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solutions were prepared by dissolving the solute in ethanol followed by dilution with Milli-Q water [ethanol:water (v/v)=1:10,000] (Ahammed et al. 2013a).On day 18 after the experiment was initiated, the plants in the water deficit treatment were subjected to water restriction.

#### Plant conduction and water deficit treatment

One plant per pot was used to examine the plant parameters. The plants received the following macro- and micronutrients contained in the nutrient solution: 8.75 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>, 7.5 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>\_4H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>\_7 H<sub>2</sub>O, 62.50 lM KCl,31.25 lM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 2.50 lM MnSO<sub>4</sub>\_H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.50 lM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>\_7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.63 lM CuSO<sub>4</sub>\_5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.63 lM NaMoO<sub>4</sub>- 5H<sub>2</sub>O, and 250.0 lM NaEDTAFe\_3H<sub>2</sub>O. To simulate the water deficit, the solution was removed completely, the root system was placed in similar pots without water/solution, and the water deficit was applied within 2 day (days 18–20 after the start of the experiment).

During the study, the nutrient solutions were changed at 07:00 h at 3-day intervals, with the pH adjusted to 5.5 using HCl or NaOH. On day 20 of the experiment, physiological and morphological parameters were measured for all plants, and leaf tissues were harvested for nutritional and biochemical analyses.

#### Measurement of chlorophyll fluorescence

The minimal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state (F<sub>0</sub>), maximal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state (F<sub>m</sub>), variable fluorescence (F<sub>v</sub>), maximal quantum yield of PSII photochemistry  $(F_v/F_m)$ , effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry ( $U_{PSII}$ ), photochemical quenching coefficient (q<sub>P</sub>), nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ), electron transport rate (ETR), relative energy excess at the PSII level (EXC) and the ratio between electron transport rate and net photosynthetic rate  $(ETR/P_N)$  were determined using an modulated chlorophyll fluorometer (model OS5p; Opti-Sciences). Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured using fully expanded leaves under light conditions. Preliminary tests determined the location of the leaf, the part of the leaf and the time required to obtain the greatest  $F_v/F_m$ ratio; consequently, the third acropetal leaf from the middle third of the plant adapted to the dark for 30 min was used in the evaluation. The intensity and duration of the saturation light pulse were 7500 Imol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  and 0.7 s, respectively.

#### Evaluation of gas exchange

The net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ), transpiration rate (E), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (C<sub>i</sub>) were evaluated using an infrared gas analyser (model LCPro<sup>+</sup>; ADC BioScientific). These parameters were measured on the adaxial surface of fully expanded leaves that were collected from the middle region of the plant. The water-use efficiency (WUE) was estimated according to Ma et al. (2004), and the instantaneous carboxylation efficiency (P<sub>N</sub>/C<sub>i</sub>) was calculated using the formula described by Araga<sup>-</sup>o et al. (2012). Gas exchange was evaluated in all plants under constant conditions of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, photosynthetically active radiation, airflow rate and temperature in a chamber set at 360 lmol mol-1 CO<sub>2</sub>, 800 lmol photons m-2 s-1, 300 lmol s-1 and 28 \_C, respectively, between 10:00 and 12:00 h.

#### Leaf water potential

The leaf water potential  $(\Psi_w)$  was measured using fully expanded leaves located in the middle region of the plant and exposed to light, during the period between 11:30 to 12:00 h, which corresponded to midday potential. To determinate the  $\Psi_w$ , one leaf per plant and five plants per treatment were measured using an analogue plant moisture system (PMS Instrument Company, model 600). This system is based on the pressure chamber technique (Scholander et al. 1964), and the procedure outlined by Turner (1988) was followed.

# Extraction of antioxidant enzymes, superoxide and soluble proteins

Antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, APX and POX), superoxide and soluble proteins were extracted from the leaf tissue following the method of Badawi et al. (2004). The extraction mixture was prepared by homogenizing 500 mg of fresh plant material in 5 ml of extraction buffer, consisting of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6), 1.0 mM ascorbate and 1.0 mM EDTA. Samples were centrifuged at 14,0009*g* for 4 min at 3 °C, and the supernatant was collected. Quantification of total soluble proteins was performed using the method described by Bradford (1976). The absorbance was measured at 595 nm, using bovine albumin as a standard.

#### Superoxide dismutase assay

For the SOD (EC 1.15.1.1) assay, 2.8 ml of reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6), 0.1 mM EDTA, 13 mM methionine (pH 7.6), 75  $\mu$ M NBT, and 4  $\mu$ M riboflavin was mixed with 0.2 ml of supernatant. The Absorbance was then measured at 560 nm (Giannopolitis and Ries 1977).

#### **Catalase assay**

For the CAT (EC 1.11.1.6) assay, 0.2 ml of supernatant and 1.8 ml of reaction mixture containing 50 mM phos- phate buffer (pH 7.0) and 12.5 mM hydrogen peroxide were mixed, and the absorbance was measured at 240 nm (Havir and McHale 1987).

#### Ascorbate peroxidase assay

For the APX (EC 1.11.1.11) assay, 1.8 ml of reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM ascorbate, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 1.0 mM hydrogen peroxide was mixed with 0.2 ml of supernatant, and the absorbance was measured at 290 nm (Nakano and Asada 1981).

#### **Peroxidase assay**

For the POX (EC 1.11.1.7) assay, 1.78 ml of reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 0.05% guaiacol was mixed with 0.2 ml of supernatant, followed by the addition of 20  $\mu$ L of 10 mM hydrogen

peroxide. The absorbance was then measured at 470 nm (Cakmak and Marschner 1992).

#### **Determination of superoxide concentration**

To determine  $O_2^-$ , 1 ml of extract was incubated with 30 mM phosphate buffer [pH 7.6] and 0.51 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride for 20 min at 25 °C. Then, 17 mM sulphanilamide and 7 mM a-naphthylamine were added to the incubation mixture for 20 min at 25 °C. After the reaction, an identical volume of ethyl ether was added and Centrifuged at 30009g for 5 min. The absorbance was measured at 530 nm (Elstner and Heupel 1976).

#### **Extraction of nonenzymatic compounds**

Nonenzymatic compounds ( $H_2O_2$  and MDA) were extracted as described by Wu et al. (2006). Briefly, a mixture to extract  $H_2O_2$  and MDA was prepared by homogenising 500 mg of fresh leaf material in 5 mL of 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 15,0009g for 15 min at 3 °C to collect the supernatant.

#### Determination of hydrogen peroxide concentration

To measure  $H_2O_2$ , 200 µL of supernatant and 1800 µL of reaction mixture (2.5 mM potassium phosphate buffer [pH 7.0] and 500 mM potassium iodide) were mixed, and the absorbance was measured at 390 nm (Velikova et al. 2000).

#### Quantification of malondialdehyde concentration

MDA was determined by mixing 500  $\mu$ L of supernatant with 1000  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture, which contained 0.5% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid in 20% trichloroacetic acid. The mixture was incubated in boiling water at 95 °C for 20 min, after which the reaction was terminated by placing the reaction container in an ice bath. The samples were centrifuged at 10,0009*g* for 10 min, and the absorbance was measured at 532 nm. The nonspecific absorption at 600 nm was subtracted from the absorbance data. The MDA–TBA complex (red pigment) amount was calculated based on the method of Cakmak and Horst (1991), with minor modifications, and an extinction coefficient of 155 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> was used.

#### **Determination of electrolyte leakage**

Electrolyte leakage was measured according to the method of Gong et al. (1998), with minor modifications. Fresh leaves (200 mg) were cut into pieces 1 cm in length and placed in containers with 8 mL of distilled deionised water. The containers were incubated in a water bath at 40 °C for 30 min, and the initial electrical conductivity of the medium (EC<sub>1</sub>) was measured. Then, the samples were boiled at 95 °C for 20 min to release the electrolytes. After cooling, the final electrical conductivity (EC<sub>2</sub>) was measured (Gong et al. 1998). The percentage of electrolyte leakage was calculated using the formula EL (%) = (EC<sub>1</sub>/EC<sub>2</sub>) x 100.

#### **Determination of photosynthetic pigments**

The chlorophyll and carotenoid determinations were performed using 40 mg of leaf tissue. The samples were homogenised in the dark with 8 mL of 90% methanol (Nuclear). The homogenate was centrifuged at 60009g for 10 min at 5 °C. The supernatant was removed, and the chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) and *b* (Chl *b*), and carotenoid (CAR) and total chlorophyll (total Chl) contents were quantified using a spectrophotometer (model UV-M51; Bel Photonics) according to the methodology of Lichtenthaler and Buschmann (2001).

#### Measurements of morphological parameters

Root, stem and leaf growth was measured based on constant dry weights (g) obtained after drying in a forced-air ventilation oven at 65 °C.

#### Data analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance, and significant differences between the means were determined using Scott–Knott test at a probability level of 5% (Steel et al. 2006). Standard deviations were calculated for each treatment. The statistical analyses were performed using Assistat software.

#### Results

# Effects of water deficit and EBR on chlorophyll fluorescence

Plants subjected to the water deficit exhibited a reduction in  $\Psi_w$ . However, the application of EBR diminished the effects of the water restriction, increasing the  $\Psi_w$  measured in response to 100 nM EBR by 40% (Fig. 1a) compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment. There was a significant difference in  $F_v/F_m$  between the water conditions. The plants sprayed with EBR had higher  $F_v/F_m$  values, mainly at a concentration of 100 nM EBR (Fig. 1b). Significant differences were observed in  $F_0$  after the water deficit was imposed, and there was a more

intense reduction (15%) in the 100 nM EBR treatment compared with the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 1c). The application of 100 nM EBR increased  $F_m$  in the control and water deficit plants by 29 and 32%, respectively. The water deficit induced a significant reduction in values compared with the respective controls (Fig. 1d).

The U<sub>PSII</sub>, q<sub>P</sub> and ETR values decreased in response to the water deficit; significant differences were detected relative to the control plants at the same concentration of EBR. However, plants sprayed with 100 nM EBR under the water deficit exhibited significantly higher values for these variables, i.e., 74, 112 and 72%, respectively, compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 1). Significant increases in NPQ, EXC and ETR/P<sub>N</sub> occurred in response to the water deficit; however, the application of 100 nM EBR resulted in decreases of 30, 19 and 12%, respectively, compared with the water defi- cit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 1).

# Improvements in the gas exchange of plants subjected to the water deficit

 $P_{\rm N}$ , *E* and  $g_{\rm s}$  were significantly reduced by the water restriction. However, 100 nM EBR caused increases of 96, 24 and 33%, respectively, in these variables compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 2). The  $C_{\rm i}$ levels increased with the water deficit, but the application of 100 nM EBR resulted in a significant decrease of 18% compared with the water deficit treatment without EBR (Table 2). Plants subjected to the water deficit had lower WUE and  $P_{\rm N}/C_{\rm i}$  values, whereas the application of 100 nM EBR caused increases of 49 and 141%, respectively, compared with the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment (Table 2).

#### EBR increase the activities of antioxidant enzymes

The SOD activity increased as a result of the water deficit, and EBR caused a variation of 25% in the activity of this enzyme in plants subjected to the water deficit + 100 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 2a) compared with those subjected to the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment. The CAT activity increased significantly in response to the water deficit; there was a variation of 29% in plants exposed to the water deficit + 100 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 2b) in comparison to the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment. The application of EBR resulted in a 50% increase in APX in the water deficit + EBR 100 nM treatment, and the water deficit produced strong increases in APX activity compared with the control plants (Fig. 2c). There were significant differences in POX between the water deficit treatments, and the water deficit+100 nM EBR



**Fig. 1** Leaf water potential (a), maximal quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (b), minimal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state (c) and maximal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state (d) in *Vigna unguiculata* plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit. Different *uppercase letters* between EBR levels (0, 50

and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and *lowercase letters* between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott-Knott test ( $P \ 0.05$ ). Columns described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations

Table 1	Chlorophyll	fluorescence in	Vigna un	guiculata I	olants sp	layed with	h EBR an	d exposed	to water	deficit
---------	-------------	-----------------	----------	-------------	-----------	------------	----------	-----------	----------	---------

Water condition	EBR (nM)	$\Phi_{ m PSII}$	Q <sub>P</sub>	NPQ	$ETR \\ (\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$	$\begin{array}{c} EXC \\ (\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}) \end{array}$	ETR/P <sub>N</sub>
Control	0	$0.40 \pm 0.03$ Ba	0.79 ± 0.06Ba	$0.82 \pm 0.07 \text{Ab}$	59.0 ± 5.1Ba	$0.47 \pm 0.03$ Ab	3.81 ± 0.29Ab
Control	50	$0.42\pm0.01\mathrm{Ba}$	$0.86\pm0.05\mathrm{Ba}$	$0.79\pm0.07\mathrm{Ab}$	$62.3 \pm 3.4$ Ba	$0.46\pm0.03 Ab$	$3.65 \pm 0.16 \text{Ab}$
Control	100	$0.46\pm0.02\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.97\pm0.04\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.78\pm0.06\mathrm{Ab}$	$68.7 \pm 2.2 \mathrm{Aa}$	$0.44~\pm~0.02Ab$	$3.57 \pm 0.14$ Ab
Water deficit	0	$0.19\pm0.01\mathrm{Cb}$	$0.25\pm0.04\mathrm{Cb}$	$1.51 \pm 0.11$ Aa	$28.5\pm0.8\mathrm{Cb}$	$0.68\pm0.01\mathrm{Aa}$	$5.45 \pm 0.18$ Aa
Water deficit	50	$0.27\pm0.01\mathrm{Bb}$	$0.37\pm0.06\mathrm{Bb}$	$1.09\pm0.05\mathrm{Ba}$	$39.9 \pm 1.6Bb$	$0.61\pm0.03\mathrm{Ba}$	$4.98 \pm 0.21$ Ba
Water deficit	100	$0.33\pm0.02\text{Ab}$	$0.53\pm0.06 \text{Ab}$	$1.06\pm0.04\mathrm{Ba}$	$49.0\pm3.0\mathrm{Ab}$	$0.55\pm0.03\mathrm{Ca}$	$4.81\pm0.24Ba$

 $\Phi_{PSII}$  Effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry;  $q_P$  Photochemical quenching coefficient; *NPQ* Nonphotochemical quenching; *ETR* Electron transport rate; *EXC* Relative energy excess at the PSII level; *ETR/P<sub>N</sub>* Ratio between the electron transport rate and net photosynthetic rate. Columns with different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott–Knott test (*P* \ 0.05). Values described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations

 Table 2
 Gas exchange in Vigna unguiculata plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit

Water condition	EBR (nM)	$P_{\rm N} \qquad (\mu {\rm mol} \ {\rm m}^{-2}  {\rm s}^{-1})$	$E \text{ (mmol } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\text{)}$	$G_{\rm s} \pmod{{\rm m}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1}}$	$C_{\rm i}$ (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{l} WUE \\ (\mu mol \ mmol^{-1}) \end{array}$	$P_{\rm N}/C_{\rm i}$ (µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup> )
Control	0	15.6 ± 0.5Ca	3.38 ± 0.02Aa	$0.37 \pm 0.02$ Aa	247 ± 15Ab	4.62 ± 0.12Ca	$0.063 \pm 0.005 Ba$
Control	50	$17.0\pm0.7\mathrm{Ba}$	$3.38\pm0.05\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.36 \pm 0.01$ Aa	$247 \pm 20$ Ab	$5.03\pm0.15\mathrm{Ba}$	$0.065 \pm 0.004 Ba$
Control	100	$19.2 \pm 0.4$ Aa	$3.46\pm0.07\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.38 \pm 0.02$ Aa	$247 \pm 17$ Aa	$5.55\pm0.16\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.078 \pm 0.006$ Aa
Water deficit	0	$5.2 \pm 0.2$ Cb	$1.42~\pm~0.09\text{Bb}$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$ Bb	$303 \pm 10$ Aa	$3.88\pm0.18Cb$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$ Cb
Water deficit	50	$8.0 \pm 0.4$ Bb	$1.67\pm0.09\mathrm{Ab}$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$ Ab	$272 \pm 15$ Ba	$4.81\pm0.22\mathrm{Ba}$	$0.029 \pm 0.003$ Bb
Water deficit	100	$10.2 \pm 0.5$ Ab	$1.76\pm0.08\mathrm{Ab}$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$ Ab	$249\pm22\mathrm{Ba}$	$5.80\pm0.31\mathrm{Aa}$	$0.041 \pm 0.003 \text{Ab}$

 $P_N$ Net photosynthetic rate; *E* Transpiration rate;  $g_s$  Stomatal conductance;  $C_i$  Intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration; *WUE* Water-use efficiency;  $P_N/C_i$  Carboxylation instantaneous efficiency. Columns with different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott–Knott test ( $P \setminus 0.05$ ). Values described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations



Fig. 2 Activities of superoxide dismutase (a), catalase (b), ascorbate peroxidase (c) and peroxidase (d) in *Vigna unguiculata* plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit. Different *uppercase letters* between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water

condition) and *lowercase letters* between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott–Knott test ( $P \setminus 0.05$ ). Columns described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations



**Fig. 3** Superoxide (a), hydrogen peroxide (b), malondialdehyde (c) and electrolyte leakage (d) in *Vigna unguiculata* plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit. Different *uppercase letters* between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water

treatment resulted in a significant increase in POX (149%) compared with plants in the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 2d).

# Reduced production of oxidant compounds and cell damage induced by EBR

The water deficit caused an increase in the  $O_2^-$  levels of the *Vigna unguiculata* plants, but the application of EBR reduced this effect. For example, the plants that received 100 nM EBR exhibited a 39% reduction relative to the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 3a). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels increased in plants subjected to the water deficit compared with the control treatment, whereas significant reductions were measured in response to the application of EBR: a decrease of 45% was measured in plants subjected to the

condition) and *lowercase letters* between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott–Knott test ( $P \setminus 0.05$ ). Columns described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations

water deficit+100 nM EBR treatment compared with the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 3b). Plants exposed to the water deficit had significantly higher MDA contents, but the application of 100 nM EBR to plants under the water deficit caused a 25% reduction compared with those subjected to the water deficit and 0 nM EBR (Fig. 3c). In addition, the water deficit caused increases in the EL values, whereas there was a 15% decrease in these values in the water deficit+100 nM EBR treatment compared with the water deficit+0 nM EBR treatment (Fig. 3d).

# Maintenance of pigments in plants pretreated with EBR

The water deficit caused significant reductions in Chl a, Chl b and total Chl, but these effects were attenuated by

 Table 3
 Photosynthetic pigments in Vigna unguiculata plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit

Water condition	EBR (nM)	Chl $a (mg g^{-1} FM)$	Chl b (mg $g^{-1}$ FM)	Total Chl (mg $g^{-1}$ FM)	Car (mg $g^{-1}$ FM)
Control	0	7.33 ± 0.37Ba	$1.71 \pm 0.05$ Ca	9.04 ± 0.48Ba	$0.78 \pm 0.06$ Aa
Control	50	$8.49\pm0.59\mathrm{Aa}$	$1.86\pm0.07\mathrm{Ba}$	$10.22 \pm 0.58$ Aa	$0.84\pm0.05\mathrm{Aa}$
Control	100	$8.57\pm0.50\mathrm{Aa}$	$2.07~\pm~0.09\mathrm{Aa}$	$10.64 \pm 0.52$ Aa	$0.80\pm0.07\mathrm{Aa}$
Water deficit	0	$3.42 \pm 0.28Bb$	$0.96\pm0.06\mathrm{Cb}$	$4.38~\pm~0.34Bb$	$0.34\pm0.02Bb$
Water deficit	50	$3.84 \pm 0.20 \text{Bb}$	$1.12 \pm 0.07 Bb$	$4.96~\pm~0.28Bb$	$0.54\pm0.04\mathrm{Ab}$
Water deficit	100	$4.32 \pm 0.18 \mathrm{Ab}$	$1.52\pm0.08 \text{Ab}$	$5.84 \pm 0.39$ Ab	$0.56\pm0.04Ab$

*Chl a* Chlorophyll *a*; *Chl b* Chlorophyll *b*;*Total Chl* Total chlorophyll; *Car* Carotenoids. Columns with different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott–Knott test ( $P \setminus 0.05$ ). Values described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations

Table 4     Growth in       Viana	Water condition	EBR (nM)	LDM (g)	RDM (g)	SDM (g)	TDM (g)
<i>unguiculata</i> plants splayed with EBR and exposed to water deficit	Control Control	0 50	$1.04 \pm 0.04$ Aa $1.08 \pm 0.06$ Aa	$1.54 \pm 0.11$ Aa $1.60 \pm 0.14$ Aa	$0.63 \pm 0.03$ Aa $0.64 \pm 0.05$ Aa	$3.21 \pm 0.14$ Aa $3.32 \pm 0.21$ Aa
	Control Water deficit Water deficit	100 0 50	$1.08 \pm 0.06$ Aa $0.96 \pm 0.02$ Bb $1.02 \pm 0.02$ Aa	$1.63 \pm 0.08$ Aa $1.18 \pm 0.06$ Ab $1.26 \pm 0.07$ Ab	$0.64 \pm 0.05$ Aa $0.59 \pm 0.01$ Ba $0.64 \pm 0.03$ Aa	$3.35 \pm 0.10$ Aa 2.73 $\pm 0.06$ Bb 2.92 $\pm 0.10$ Ab
	water deficit	100	$1.07 \pm 0.07$ Aa	$1.30 \pm 0.08 \text{Ab}$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$ Aa	$3.00 \pm 0.08$ Ab

*LDM* Leaf dry matter; *RDM* Root dry matter; *SDM* Stem dry matter; *TDM* Total dry matter. Columns with different uppercase letters between EBR levels (0, 50 and 100 nM EBR under equal water condition) and lowercase letters between water conditions (control and water deficit under equal EBR concentration) indicate significant differences from the Scott-Knott test ( $P \setminus 0.05$ ). Values described corresponding to means from five repetitions and standard deviations.

EBR. Plants exposed to the water deficit that received 100 nM EBR showed significant increases of 26, 58 and 33% for Chl *a*, Chl *b* and total Chl, respectively, compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 3). The Car levels decreased as a result of the water deficit, but the application of 100 nM EBR increased the Car levels by 65% compared with the 0 nM EBR treatment in plants that were exposed to the water deficit (Table 3).

# EBR mitigates the effect of the water deficit on growth

The application of EBR resulted in significant differences in the LDM and SDM of plants under the water deficit; specifically, the application of 100 nM EBR caused increases of 11 and 7%, respectively, compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 4). The water restriction reduced the RDM values, but spraying with 100 nM EBR resulted in increases of 10% compared with the control subjected to the water deficit (Table 4). The water deficit significantly affected the TDM, and the water deficit + 100 nM EBR treatment exhibited a significant increase of 10% compared with the water deficit + 0 nM EBR treatment (Table 4).

#### Discussion

The application of EBR mitigated the adverse effects on the  $\Psi_w$  caused by the water deficit. This response is associated with the osmotic adjustment process, which involves the accumulation of soluble carbohydrates, such as starch and sucrose (Yu et al. 2004). Nascimento et al. (2011) studied the consequences of a water deficit on 20 genotypes of *Vigna unguiculata* and reported a 49.3% reduction in the  $\Psi_w$  of the Tracuateua-192 genotype. Zhang et al. (2008), who worked with *Glycine max* plants under two soil moisture levels, observed a positive  $\Psi_w$  response after the application of EBR.

EBR reduced the impact of the water deficit on *V*. *unguiculata* plants and minimized the negative effects on  $F_v/F_m$ ,  $F_0$  and  $F_m$ . This steroid resulted in a higher water retention in the tissues, shown by increases in the  $\Psi_w$  of

plants treated with EBR. Increases in  $F_v/F_m$  and  $F_m$  after spraying with EBR suggest beneficial effects on the reaction centre of PSII and subsequent mitigation of the photoinhibitory process resulting from the water deficit (Maxwell and Johnson 2000; Qiu et al. 2013). Plants treated with EBR had lower  $F_0$  values due to the increase in the flow of photons from the collector system to the reaction centres of PSII (Baker and Rosenqvist 2004). Wang et al. (2015) observed that EBR had positive effects on  $F_v/F_m$  and  $F_0$  in *Vitis vinifera* exposed to a water deficit. Supporting our study, Souza et al. (2004) reported similar results for *V. unguiculata* plants under water deficit and rehydration, where  $F_v/F_m$  and  $F_m$  decreased and  $F_0$  increased as a result of water restriction for 7 days followed by rehydration for three consecutive days.

The EBR application increased the  $U_{PSII}$ ,  $q_P$  and ETR values in plants under the water deficit and control conditions, which can be explained by positive effects of EBR on  $F_0$  and  $F_m$  observed in this study. Plants treated with EBR exhibited increases in ETR and q<sub>P</sub>, which are related to higher energy absorption of photons and subsequent increased flow of energy for the excitation of electrons accepted by plastoquinone (Buonasera et al. 2011). Thussagunpanit et al. (2015a) studied the action mechanisms of EBR in *Oryza sativa* plants and reported increases in  $U_{PSII}$ after EBR application. Research conducted by Li et al. (2015) showed that EBR increases the proportion of open PSII reaction centres, improving the efficiency of the capture of light energy for the electron transport chain. Rivas et al. (2016) observed reductions in q<sub>P</sub> and ETR in V. unguiculata exposed to a water deficit due to lower activation of enzymes linked to carboxylation as well as limited extinction of fluorescence during photochemical processes.

Reductions in NPO, EXC and  $ETR/P_N$  values in plants exposed to the water deficit + EBR are related to lower non-photochemical energy in the form of heat (Ribeiro et al. 2009). In addition, less quenching, mainly through photorespiration and secondary metabolites, such as the photoreduction of  $O_2$  to  $O_2^-$  (Silva et al. 2011; Barbosa et al. 2014) probably occurred in plants exposed to EBR. The reduction of  $ETR/P_N$  in plants treated with EBR indicates that this steroid influences chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange. Guan et al. (2014) evaluated early cultivars of Triticum aestivum under water deficit conditions and found increases in the NPQ values, which were related to increased thermal dissipation. The use of EBR caused a reduction in EXC due to the decrease in NPQ, which can be explained by the higher efficiency in the light capture by PSII (Silva et al. 2012). Sales et al. (2013) conducted a study on the recovery of photosynthesis in Saccharum officinarum plants subjected to a water deficit and a low temperature substrate and reported a 25% increase in EXC. Corroborating our research, Singh and Reddy (2011), who investigated the regulation of chloro- phyll fluorescence in V. unguiculata exposed to a water deficit, detected an increase of approximately 200% in ETR/ $P_{\rm N}$ . Plants exposed to the water deficit + EBR exhibited increases in the  $P_{\rm N}$ , E and  $g_s$  values, and these results are

linked to the benefits provided by the EBR, which improved the efficiency of PSII ( $\bigcup_{PSII}$ ) and increased the water status ( $\Psi_w$ ) in this study. EBR also caused an increase in *E* and *gs*, which was induced by the increase in the  $\Psi_w$  previously described. The stomatal mechanism is dependent on the water status of the tissue and has a strong

influence on gas exchange (Dias and Brüggemann 2010; Xia et al. 2014). Afzal et al. (2014) evaluated the gas exchange of *Vigna radiata* plants under a water deficit and also found reductions in  $P_N$  and  $g_s$ . Hu et al. (2013) reported that EBR application alleviates the negative effects on  $P_N$ , *E* and  $g_s$  in *Capsicum annuum* plants sub- jected to a water deficit.

The application of EBR caused reductions in the  $C_i$  values of plants exposed to the water deficit, and this response is related to the increase in  $P_N$ , suggesting that EBR increased the activity of RUBISCO, the enzyme responsible for intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (Yu et al. 2004). Anyia and Herzog (2004) evaluated the gas exchange of *Vigna unguiculata* plants and observed increased  $C_i$  in plants subjected to a water deficit. EBR mitigated the negative effects caused by the water deficit and increased the WUE values; these effects resulted from the increases in  $P_N$  and E, which were caused by the beneficial actions of EBR. Anjum et al. (2011) reported a 30.4% increase in WUE after the application of EBR to *Zea mays* plants subjected to a water deficit. The  $P_N/C_i$ 

values also increased in plants exposed to the water defi- cit + EBR, which is directly linked to an increase in  $P_{\rm N}$  and a reduction in  $C_{\rm i}$ ; these results have already been described in the current study. Corroborating our research, Farooq et al. (2009) observed increases in WUE and  $P_{\rm N}/C_{\rm i}$  after the application of EBR to *Oryza sativa* plants under a water deficit.

Plants under the water deficit that were treated with EBR presented increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX, indicating that EBR alleviated the damage caused to PSII and reduced the photoinhibition. These results are corroborated by the increases in  $F_v/F_m$  and ETR and the reduction in NPQ. EBR increases the activity of antioxidant enzymes to mitigate oxidative stress by reducing ROS accumulation (Abedi and Pakniyat 2010; Ramakrishna and Rao 2015). Yuan et al. (2010) and Behnamnia et al. (2009a) observed increases in antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, CAT, APX and POX) after the application of 0.01 and 1 IM of EBR to *Lycopersicon esculentum* plants subjected to 3 and 5 days, respectively, of a water deficit.

EBR caused decreases in the  $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$  concen-

trations of *Vigna unguiculata* plants subjected to the water deficit. This response is intrinsically related to increases in the activities of antioxidant enzymes that are positively induced by EBR application, aiming to neutralize the accumulation of ROS (Ahammed et al. 2013b). Additionally, the decrease in EXC reveals lower photoreduction of  $O_2$  to  $O_2^-$ . The  $O_2$  reduction occurred due to the increase in SOD, which was activated by the application of EBR. SOD is the first enzyme in plant defence and catalyses the conversion of the  $O_2^-$  anion to  $H_2O_2$  (Yusuf et al. 2011). The  $H_2O_2$  concentrations also decreased in the water deficit + EBR treatment, but this response was associated with increases in the activities of CAT, APX and POX, which were related to the positive action of EBR. This reduction occurred through the neutralization of  $H_2O_2$ , which was converted into  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$ , a reaction mediated by CAT, APX and POX (Asada 2006; Hasan et al. 2011). Behnamnia et al. (2009b) studied the effects of two EBR concentrations and reported the benefits of

applying 1 M of EBR, which reduced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in Lycopersicon esculentum plants exposed to a 5-day water deficit. Plants exposed to the water deficit + EBR exhibited reductions in MDA and EL, which can be explained by increases in enzyme activities (SOD, CAT, APX and POX) and reduced levels of ROS ( $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$ ), resulting from the exogenous application of EBR. The reduction in EL was related to the decrease in MDA caused by the beneficial action of EBR, indicating minor damages caused to the cell membrane. ROS accumulation induces lipid peroxidation due to a loss of cell membrane integrity, which negatively affects photosynthetic activity (Ye et al. 2016) and maximizes electrolyte leakage in response to stress (Demidchik et al. 2014). Li et al. (2012) observed a reduction in MDA after the application of EBR to Chorispora bungeana plants subjected to a water deficit. Research conducted by Mousavi et al. (2009) also showed beneficial effects of EBR, which caused a reduction in EL of Brassica napus subjected to a 4-day water deficit.

The foliar application of EBR to *Vigna unguiculata* plants exposed to a water deficit resulted in increases in the photosynthetic pigments (Chl *a*, Chl *b*, total Chl and Car), indicating that EBR attenuated the damage caused to the chloroplast membranes (by MDA and EL) and mitigated the accumulation of ROS ( $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$ ). The application of EBR maintains the photosynthetic pigments, improves photochemical activity, and also balances the distribution of excitation between the photosystems (Zhang et al. 2013). Rajasekar et al. (2016) observed a reduction in the photosynthetic pigments of *Zea mays* plants after a water deficit. Corroborating our study, Thussagunpanit et al. (2015b) observed increases in Chl *a*, Chl *b*, total chl and Car after the application of EBR to *Oryza sativa* plants subjected to heat stress (47 °C) for 7 days.

Exogenous use of EBR resulted in increases in the leaf, root, stem and total dry matter of *Vigna unguiculata* plants exposed to the water deficit. This response is directly linked to the beneficial effects of EBR on chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange detected through increases in  $U_{PSII}$  and  $P_N$ . In parallel, EBR improved the antioxidant system, mitigating the accumulation of ROS, in addition to reducing the damage caused to the membranes and photosynthetic pigments. Plants treated with EBR presented greater accumulation of biomass as a result of the increased photosynthetic rates triggered by the efficient energy absorption and proper stomatal regulation (Arora et al. 2008; Shahbaz et al. 2008). Barbosa et al. (2015) reported a reduction in the biomass of *Saccharum* spp. plants subjected to a water deficit. Corroborating our research, Zheng et al. (2016) described positive results of EBR on increases in the leaf, root, stem and total dry matter of *Lycopersicon esculentum* plants under salt stress.

This study revealed that EBR improved photosystem II efficiency, inducing increases in  $U_{PSII}$ ,  $q_P$  and ETR. This substance also mitigated the negative effects of the water deficit on gas exchange and growth. Increases in SOD, CAT, APX and POX of plants treated with EBR indicate

that this steroid clearly increased the tolerance to the water deficit, reducing reactive oxygen species, cell damage, and maintaining the photosynthetic pigments. Additionally, 100 nM EBR resulted in a better dose–response of cowpea plants exposed to the water deficit.

Acknowledgements This research was financially supported by Fundação Amazônia de Amparo a Estudos e Pesquisas (FAPESPA/ Brazil), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq/Brazil) and Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia (UFRA/Brazil), awarded to A. K. S. Lobato. While J. V. Lima was supported by a graduate scholarship from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES/Brazil).

#### References

- Abedi T, Pakniyat H (2010) Antioxidant enzyme changes in response to drought stress in ten cultivars of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Czech J Genet Plant Breed 46:27–34
- Afzal A, Gulzar I, Shahbaz M, Ashraf M (2014) Water deficitinduced regulation of growth, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, inorganic nutrient accumulation and antioxidative defense mechanism in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.)Wilczek]. J Appl Bot-Angew Bot 87:147–156
- Agele SO, Ofuya TI, James PO (2006) Effects of watering regimes on aphid infestation and performance of selected varieties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) in a humid rainforest zone of Nigeria. Crop Prot 25:73–78
- Ahammed GJ, Choudhary SP, Chen S, Xia X, Shi K, Zhou Y, Yu J (2013a) Role of brassinosteroids in alleviation of phenanthrenecadmium co-contamination-induced-photosynthetic inhibition and oxidative stress in tomato. J Exp Bot 64:199–213
- Ahammed GJ, Ruan YP, Zhou J, Xia XJ, Shi K, Zhou YH, Yu JQ (2013b) Brassinosteroid alleviates polychlorinated biphenylsinduced oxidative stress by enhancing antioxidant enzymes activity in tomato. Chemosphere 90:2645–2653
- Alyemeni MN, Hayat S, Wijaya L, Anaji A (2013) Foliar application of 28-homobrassinolide mitigates salinity stress by increasing the efficiency of photosynthesis in *Brassica juncea*. Acta Bot Bras 27:502–505

- Amzallag GN, Vaisman J (2006) Influence of brassinosteroids on initiation of the root gravitropic response in Pisum sativum seedlings. Biol Plantarum 50:283–286
- Anjum SA, Wang LC, Farooq M, Hussain M, Xue LL, Zou CM (2011) Brassinolide application improves the drought tolerance in maize through modulation of enzymatic antioxidants and leaf gas exchange. J Agron Crop Sci 197:177–185
- Anyia AO, Herzog H (2004) Water-use efficiency, leaf area and leaf gas exchange of cowpeas under mid-season drought. Eur J Agron 20:327–339
- Aragão RM, Silva EN, Vieira CF, Silveira JAG (2012) High supply of NO<sub>3</sub> mitigates salinity effects through an enhancement in the efficiency of photosystem II and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in *Jatropha curcas* plants. Acta Physiol Plant 34:2135–2143
- Arora N, Bhardwaj R, Sharma P, Arora HK (2008) Effects of 28homobrassinolide on growth, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzyme activities in seedlings of *Zea mays* L. under salinity stress. Acta Physiol Plant 30:833–839
- Asada K (2006) Production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and their functions. Plant Physiol 141:391–396
- Badawi GH, Yamauchi Y, ShimadaE Sasaki R, Kawano N, Tanaka K, Tanaka K (2004) Enhanced tolerance to salt stress and water déficit by overspressing superoxide dismutase in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chloroplasts. Plant Sci 166:919–928
- Bajguz A, Hayat S (2009) Effects of brassinosteroids on the plant responses to environmental stresses. Plant Physiol Biochem 47:1–8
- Baker NR, Rosenqvist E (2004) Applications of chlorophyll fluorescence can improve crop production strategies: an examination of future possibilities. J Exp Bot 55:1607–1621
- Barbosa MAM, Lobato AKS, Viana GDM, Coelho KNN, Barbosa JRS, Costa RCL, Santos Filho BG, Oliveira Neto CF (2013) Root contribution to water relations and shoot in two contrasting *Vigna unguiculata* cultivars subjected to water deficit and inoculation. Rom Agric Res 30:155–162
- Barbosa MR, Silva MMA, Willadino L, Ulisses C, Camara TR (2014) Plant generation and enzymatic detoxification of reactive oxygen species. Cienc Rural 44:453–460
- Barbosa AM, Guidorizi KA, Catuchi TA, Marques TA, Ribeiro RV, Souza GM (2015) Biomass and bioenergy partitioning of sugarcane
- plants under water deficit. Acta Physiol Plant 37:1–8 Behnamnia M, Kalantari KM, Rezanejad F (2009a) Exogenous application of brassinosteroid alleviates drought-induced oxidative stress in *Lycopersicon esculentum* L. Gen Appl Plant Physiol 35:22–34
- Behnamnia M, Kalantari KM, Ziaie J (2009b) The effects of brassinosteroid on the induction of biochemical changes in Lycopersicon esculentum under drought stress. Turk J Bot 33:417–428
- Bertolli SC, Rapchan GL, Souza GM (2012) Photosynthetic limitations caused by different rates of water-deficit induction in *Glycine max* and *Vigna unguiculata*. Photosynthetica 50:329– 336
- Boughalleb F, Abdellaoui R, Brahim NB, Neffati M (2016) Growth, photosynthesis, water use efficiency, and osmoregulation of the wild species Astragalus gombiformis Pomel. Under water deficit. Braz J Bot 39:147–156
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254
- Buonasera K, Lambreva M, Rea G, Touloupakis E, Giardi MT (2011) Technological applications of chlorophyll a fluorescence for the assessment of environmental pollutants. Anal Bioanal Chem 401:1139–1151

- Cakmak I, Horst WJ (1991) Effect of aluminum on lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase activities in root tips of soybean (*Glycine max*). Physiol Plant 83:463–468
- Cakmak I, Marschner H (1992) Magnesium deficiency and high light intensity enhance activities of superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, and glutathione reductase in bean leaves. Plant Physiol 98:1222–1227
- Chaves MM, Maroco JP, Pereira JS (2003) Understanding plant responses to drought—from genes to the whole plant. Funct Plant Biol 30:239–264
- Cui L, Zou Z, Zhang J, Zhao Y, Yan F (2016) 24-Epibrassinoslide enhances plant tolerance to stress from low temperatures and poor light intensities in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Funct Integr Genomics 16:29–35
- Demidchik V, Straltsova D, Medvedev SS, Pozhvanov GA, Sokolik A, Yurin V (2014) Stress-induced electrolyte leakage: the role of K+-permeable channels and involvement in programmed cell death and metabolic adjustment. J Exp Bot 65:1259–1270
- Derevyanchuk M, Litvinovskaya R, Khripach V, Martinec J, Kravets V (2015) Effect of 24-epibrassinolide on *Arabidopsis thaliana* alternative respiratory pathway under salt stress. Acta Physiol Plant 37:1–10
- Dias MC, Brüggemann W (2010) Limitations of photosynthesis in *Phaseolus vulgaris* under drought stress: gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and calvin cycle enzymes. Photosynthetica 48:96–102
- Elstner EF, Heupel A (1976) Inhibition of nitrite formation from hydroxylammoniumchloride: a simple assay for superoxide dismutase. Anal Biochem 70:616–620
- Fariduddin Q, Khanam S, Hasan SA, Ali B, Hayat S, Ahmad A (2009) Effect of 28-homobrassinolide on the drought stress-induced changes in photosynthesis and antioxidant system of *Brassica juncea* L. Acta Physiol Plant 31:889–897
- Farooq M, Wahid A, Basra SMA, Islam-ud-Din I (2009) Improving water relations and gas exchange with brassinosteroids in rice under drought stress. J Agron Crop Sci 195:262–269
- Fernandes-Silva AA, López-Bernal A, Ferreira TC, Villalobos FJ (2016) Leaf water relations and gas exchange response to water deficit of olive (cv. Cobrançosa) in field grown conditions in Portugal. Plant Soil 402:191–209
- Frota KMG, Soares RAM, Arêas JAG (2008) Chemical composition of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp), BRS-Milênio cultivar. Cienc Tecnol Aliment 28:470–476
- Giannopolitis CN, Ries SK (1977) Superoxide dismutase I. Occurrence in higher plants. Plant Physiol 59:309–314
- Gong M, Li YJ, Chen SZ (1998) Abscisic acid-induced thermotolerance in maize seedilings is mediated by calcium and associated with antioxidant systems. J Plant Physiol 153:488–496
- Guan XK, Song L, Wang TC, Turner NC, Li FM (2014) Effect of drought on the gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and yield of six different-era spring wheat cultivars. J Agro Crop Sci 201:253–266
- Hasan SA, Hayat S, Ahmad A (2011) Brassinosteroids protect photosynthetic machinery against the cadmium induced oxidative stress in two tomato cultivars. Chemosphere 84:1446–1451
- Havir EA, McHale NA (1987) Biochemical and developmental characterization of multiple forms of catalase in tabacco leaves. Plant Physiol 84:450–455
- Hayat S, Hasan SA, Yusuf M, Hayat Q, Ahmad A (2010) Effect of 28-homobrassinolide on photosynthesis, fluorescence and antioxidant system in the presence or absence of salinity and temperature in *Vigna radiata*. Environ Exp Bot 69:105–112
- Hoagland DR, Arnon DI (1950) The water culture method for growing plants without soil, 2nd edn. California Agricultural Experiment Station, San Francisco

- Hu WH, Yan XH, Xiao YA, Zeng JJ, Qia HJ, Ogweno JO (2013) 24-Epibrassinosteroid alleviate drought-induced inhibition of photosynthesis in *Capsicum annuum*. Sci Hortic-Amsterdam 150:232–237
- Inman-Bamber NG, Smith DM (2005) Water relations in sugarcane and response to water deficits. Field Crop Res 92:185–202
- Iqbal A, Khalil IA, Ateeq N, Khan MS (2006) Nutritional quality of important food legumes. Food Chem 97:331–335
- Kagale S, Divi UK, Krochko JE, Keller WA, Krishna P (2007) Brassinosteroid confers tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica napus* to a range of abiotic stresses. Planta 225:353– 364
- Khripach V, Zhabinskii V, Groot A (2000) Twenty years of brassinosteroids: steroidal plant hormones warrant wetter crops for the XXI century. Ann Bot-London 86:441–447
- Li KR, Feng CH (2011) Effects of brassinolide on drought resistance of *Xanthoceras sorbifolia* seedlings under water stress. Acta Physiol Plant 33:1293–1300
- Li YH, Liu YJ, Xu XL, Jin M, An LZ, Zhang H (2012) Effect of 24epibrassinolide on drought stress-induced changes in *Chorispora bungeana*. Biol Plant 56:192–196
- Li J, Yang P, Gan Y, Yu J, Xie J (2015) Brassinosteroid alleviates chilling-induced oxidative stress in pepper by enhancing antioxidation systems and maintenance of photosystem II. Acta Physiol Plant 37:1–11
- Lichtenthaler HK, Buschmann C (2001) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: measurement and characterization by UV-VIS Spectroscopy. Current protocols in food analytical chemistry. John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, NJ, USA
- Luo HH, Zhang YL, Zhang WF (2016) Effects of water stress and rewatering on photosynthesis, root activity, and yield of cotton with drip irrigation under mulch. Photosynthetica 54:65–73
- Ma CC, Gao YB, Guo HY, Wang JL (2004) Photosynthesis, transpiration and water use efficiency of *Caragana microphylla*, C. intermedia and C. korshinskii. Photosynthetica 42:65–70
- Manivannan P, Jaleel CA, Kishorekumar A, Sankar B, Somasundaram R, Sridharan R, Panneerselvam R (2007) Changes in antioxidant metabolism of *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. By propiconazole under water deficit stress. Colloid Surf B 57:69– 74
- Mansori M, Chernane H, Latique S, Benaliat A, Hsissou D, Kaoua ME (2015) Seaweed extract effect on water deficit and antioxidative mechanisms in bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J Appl Phycol 27:1689–1698
- Marinho JP, Kanamori N, Ferreira LC, Fuganti-Pagliarini R, Carvalho JFC, Freitas RA, Marin SRR, Rodrigues FA, Mertz-Henning LM, Farias JRB, Neumaier N, Oliveira MCN, Marcelino-Guimarães FC, Yoshida T, Fujita Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Nakashima K, Nepomuceno AL (2016) Characterization of molecular and physiological pesponses under water deficit of genetically modified soybean plants overexpressing the *AtAREB1* transcription factor. Plant Mol Biol Rep 34:410–426
- Maxwell K, Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide. J Exp Bot 51:659–668
- Mousavi EA, Kalantari KM, Jafari SR (2009) Change of some osmolytes accumulation in water-stressed colza (*Brassica napus* 1.) as affected by 24-epibrassinolide. Iran J Sci Technol 33:1–11
- Nakano Y, Asada K (1981) Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol 22:867–880
- Nascimento SP, Bastos EA, Araújo ECE, Freire Filho RR, Silva EM (2011) Tolerance to water deficit of cowpea genotypes. Rev Bras Eng Agr'ıc Ambient 15:853–860
- Pereira TS, Lima MDR, Paula LS, Lobato AKS (2016) Tolerance to water deficit in cowpea populations resulting from breeding

program: detection by gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence. Indian J Plant Physiol 21:171–178

- Perlikowski D, Czyzniejewski M, Marczak L, Augustyniak A, Kosmala A (2016) Water deficit affects primary metabolism differently in two *Lolium multiflorum/Festuca arundinacea* introgression forms with a distinct capacity for photosynthesis and membrane regeneration. Front Plant Sci 7:1–16
- Phillips RD, McWatters KH, Chinnan MS, Hung YC, Beuchat LR, Sefa-Dedeh S, Sakyi-Dawson E, Ngoddy P, Nnanyelugo D, Enwere J, Komey NS, Liu K, Mensa-Wilmot Y, Nnanna IA, Okeke C, Prinyawiwatkul W, Safia FK (2003) Utilization of cowpeas for human food. Field Crop Res 82:193–213
- Qiu Z, Wang L, Zhou Q (2013) Effects of bisphenol A on growth, photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence in above-ground organs of soybean seedlings. Chemosphere 90:1274–1280
- Rajasekar M, Rabert GA, Manivannan P (2016) The effect of triazole induced photosynthetic pigments and biochemical constituents of Zea mays L. (Maize) under drought stress. Appl Nanosci 6:727–735
- Ramakrishna B, Rao SSR (2015) Foliar application of brassinosteroids alleviates adverse effects of zinc toxicity in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) plants. Protoplasma 252:665–677
- Ribeiro RV, Machado EC, Santos MG, Oliveira RF (2009) Photosynthesis and water relations of well-watered orange plants as affected by winter and summer conditions. Photosynthetica 47:215–222
- Rivas R, Falcão HM, Ribeiro RV, Machado EC, Pimentel C, Santos MG (2016) Drought tolerance in cowpea species is driven by less sensitivity of leaf gas exchange to water deficit and rapid recovery of photosynthesis after rehydration. S Afr J Bot 103:101–107
- Sales CRG, Ribeiro RV, Silveira JAG, Machado EC, Martins MO, Lagôa AMMA (2013) Superoxide dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase improve the recovery of photosynthesis in sugarcane plants subjected to water deficit and low substrate temperature. Plant Physiol Biochem 73:326–336
- Sasse JM (2003) Physiological actions of brassinosteroids: an update. J Plant Growth Regul 22:276–288
- Scholander PF, Hammel HT, Hemmingsen EA, Bradstreet AD (1964) Hydrostatic pressure and osmotic potential in leaves of mangroves and some other plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci-Biol 52:119– 125
- Shahbaz M, Ashraf M, Athar HR (2008) Does exogenous application of 24-epibrassinolide ameliorate salt induced growth inhibition in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)? Plant Growth Regul 55:51–64
- Shao HB, Chu LY, Jaleel CA, Zhao CX (2008) Water-deficit stressinduced anatomical changes in higher plants. CR Biol 331:215– 225
- Silva EN, Ribeiro RV, Ferreira-Silva SL, Viégas RA, Silveira JAG (2011) Salt stress induced damages on the photosynthesis of physic nut young plants. Sci Agríc 68:62–68
- Singh SK, Reddy KR (2011) Regulation of photosynthesis, fluorescence, stomatal conductance and water-use efficiency of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) under drought. J Photochem Photobio B 105:40–50
- Silva EN, Ribeiro RV, Ferreira-Silva SL, Vieira AS, Ponte LFA, Silveira JAG (2012) Coordinate changes in photosynthesis, sugar accumulation and antioxidative enzymes improve the performance of *Jatropha curcas* plants under drought stress. Biomass Bioenerg 45:270–279
- Souza RP, Machado EC, Silva JAB, Lagôa AMMA, Silveira JAG (2004) Photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and some associated metabolic changes in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) during water stress and recovery. Environ Exp Bot 51:45–56

- Spinelli GM, Snyderb RL, Sandenc BL, Shackel KA (2016) Water stress causes stomatal closure but does not reduce canopy evapotranspiration in almond. Agric Water Manage 168:11–22
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA (2006) Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach, 3rd edn. Academic Internet Publishers, Moorpark
- Thussagunpanit J, Jutamanee K, Kaveeta L, Chai-arree W, Pankean P, Homvisasevongsa S, Suksamrarn A (2015a) Comparative effects of brassinosteroid and brassinosteroid mimic on improving photosynthesis, lipid peroxidation, and rice seed set under heat stress. J Plant Growth Regul 34:320–331
- Thussagunpanit J, Jutamanee K, Sonjaroon W, Kaveeta L, Chai-arree W, Pankean P, Suksamrarn A (2015b) Effects of brassinosteroid and brassinosteroid mimic on photosynthetic efficiency and rice yield under heat stress. Photosynthetica 53:312–320
- Toscano S, Farieri E, Ferrante A, Romano D (2016) Physiological and biochemical responses in two ornamental shrubs to drought stress. Front Plant Sci 7:1–12
- Turner NC (1988) Measurement of plant water status by the pressure chamber technique. Irrigation Sci 09:289–308
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A (2000) Oxidative stress and some antioxidant system in acid rain treated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci 151:59–66
- Wang Z, Zheng P, Meng J, Xi Z (2015) Effect of exogenous 24epibrassinolide on chlorophyll fluorescence, leaf surface morphology and cellular ultrastructure of grape seedlings (*Vitis vinifera* L.) under water stress. Acta Physiol Plant 37:1–12
- Wu QS, Xia RX, Zou YN (2006) Reactive oxygen metabolism in mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal citrus (*Poncirus trifoliata*) seedlings subjected to water stress. J Plant Physiol 163:1101– 1110
- Xia XJ, Gao CJ, Song LX, Zhou YH, Shi K, Yu JQ (2014) Role of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dynamics in brassinosteroid-induced stomatal closure and

opening in *Solanum lycopersicum*. Plant Cell Environ 37:2036–2050

- Ye J, Wang S, Deng X, Yin L, Xiong B, Wang X (2016) Melatonin increased maize (*Zea mays L.*) seedling drought tolerance by alleviating drought-induced photosynthetic inhibition and oxida- tive damage. Acta Physiol Plant 38:1–13
- Yi XP, Zhanga YL, Yao HS, Luo HH, Gou L, Chow WS, Zhang WF (2016) Rapid recovery of photosynthetic rate following soil water deficit and re-watering in cotton plants (*Gossypium herbaceum* L.) is related to the stability of the photosystems. J Plant Physiol 194:23–34
- Yu JQ, Huang LF, Hu WH, Zhou YH, Mao WH, Ye SF, Nogués S (2004) A role for brassinosteroids in the regulation of photosynthesis in *Cucumis sativus*. J Exp Bot 55:1135–1143
- Yuan GF, Jia CG, Li Z, Sun B, Zhang LP, Liu N, Wang QM (2010) Effect of brassinosteroids on drought resistance and abscisic acid concentration in tomato under water stress. Sci Hortic 126:103–108
- Yusuf M, Fariduddin Q, Ahmad A (2011) 28-Homobrassinolide mitigates boron induced toxicity through enhanced antioxidant system in *Vigna radiata* plants. Chemosphere 85:1574–1584
- Zhang M, Zhai Z, Tian X, Duan L, Li Z (2008) Brassinolide alleviated the adverse effect of water deficits on photosynthesis and the antioxidant of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Plant Growth Regul 56:257–264
- Zhang YP, Zhu XH, Ding HD, Yang SJ, Chen YY (2013) Foliar application of 24-epibrassinolide alleviates high-temperature induced inhibition of photosynthesis in seedlings of two melon cultivars. Photosynthetica 51:341–349
- Zheng Q, Liu J, Liu R, Wu H, Jiang C, Wang C, Guan Y (2016) Temporal and spatial distributions of sodium and polyamines regulated by brassinosteroids in enhancing tomato salt resistance. Plant Soil 400:147–164